

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/749,876	12/31/2003	Christopher Gudeman	KOV-012	6305		
36872	7590 06/07/2006		EXAMINER			
THE LAW OFFICES OF ANDREW D. FORTNEY, PH.D., P.C. 401 W FALLBROOK AVE STE 204 FRESNO. CA 93711-5835			WALKE, AMANDA C			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			1752			
				DATE MAILED: 06/07/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/749,876	GUDEMAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Amanda C. Walke	1752	

Boloic the I ling of all Appeal Bilo	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Amanda C. Walke	1752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 24 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP 1. ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Note a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance.	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to the statutory period for reply expires and the statutory period for reply expires to the statutory period for reply expires and the statutory period for reply expires to the statutory period for reply ex	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belo appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below); ducing or simplifying				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co : llowable if submitted in a separate, will not be entered, or b) will	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	·					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing 	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	rit or other evidence is	necessary and			
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a l).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but		•				
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				
13.	(Amanda C Walke Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1752	uece 6/4/00			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has argued that the material of the reference faisl to meet the instant claim limitations as the material does not teach one of ordinary skill in the art to prepare a material that forms an electronically conducting or semiconducting film. The claims as written simply require a material comprising a and b, the remainder of the independent claim (lines 9 and 10) is drawn to an intended use or method, thus the reference simply must be capable of forming such a pattern or beign employed in such a method. As discussed by the examiner in the final office action, the reference does teach that M is one of a small group of metals, including those instantly claimed. When M is aluminum or a transition metal, the two compounds a and b would both be present in the material, and the claim limitations would be met as the intended use/ method limitation of the claim is not given patentable weight. While applicant has argued that the reference would not be capable of forming such a pattern, the reference clearly describes a radiation patternable material comprisign a and b, which absent evidence to the contrary is believed to be capable of forming a conductive pattern. The claims s written does not exclude additional components such as the silane coupling agent of Hanabata, which the examiner notes, is an optional additive to the material of the reference. While the applicant argues that the only resins listed by the reference are those discussed on page 14 of the remarks, the examiner notes that the reference further teaches the presence of a DNQ resin as disclosed as preferred on page 34 of the instnat specification. Additionally, while applicant states that non eof the fine particle carriers P of the reference are considered to be electrically conductive, US 5, 573, 880, teaches that thermosetting electrically conductive resins including polyamides, polyamines, polythiophenes, polypyrroles, polyanililenes, and plyacetylenes are contemplated. Therefore, it is known in the art that polyamides can be employed as electrically conductive resins. Therefore, it is the position of the examiner that the arguments that the material of the reference fails to read on the instant material claims are not persuasive.